

COUNTY OF LEHIGH OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

LEHIGH COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
17 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
ALLENTOWN, PA 18101-2400
(610) 782-3082 FAX: (610) 820-3335

THOMAS SLONAKER COUNTY CONTROLLER

JOHN A. FALK DEPUTY CONTROLLER

TO:

Final Report Distribution

FROM:

Thomas Slonaker, County Controller

DATE:

November 8, 2010

RE:

Audit of Magisterial District Court #31-2-01

We have completed a financial audit of Magisterial District Court #31-2-01, County of Lehigh, Pennsylvania for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009. Our audit report number 10-69 is attached.

The results of our audit are:

- The County of Lehigh received the proper amounts due from Magisterial District Court #31-2-01.
- The magisterial district judge is in general compliance with the applicable financial AOPC guidelines.

Attachment

MDJ/HALAL

Financial Audit For The period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009

Table of Contents

	Page(s)
OPINION OF THOMAS SLONAKER LEHIGH COUNTY CONTROLLER	1-2
Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balance for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009	3
Notes to Financial Statement	4
Comments on Compliance/Internal Control	5-6
*Schedule of Prior Audit Findings and Recommendations	7-8
Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's Response	9
*From Controller's Office report #08-13 issued May 12, 2008 covering the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009.	



COUNTY OF LEHIGH OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

LEHIGH COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
17 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
ALLENTOWN, PA 18101-2400
(610) 782-3082 FAX: (610) 820-3335

THOMAS SLONAKER COUNTY CONTROLLER

JOHN A. FALK DEPUTY CONTROLLER

Magisterial District Judge Karen Devine Magisterial District Court #31-2-01 501 Hamilton Street, Basement Allentown, PA 18101

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and the Changes in Cash Balance of Magisterial District Court #31-2-01 for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 as listed in the Table of Contents. The financial statements are the responsibility of Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and the Changes in Cash Balance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements were prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

Also, as discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Magisterial District Court #31-2-01 financial activity and does not purport to, and does not, present fairly the assets, liabilities, and results of operations of the County of Lehigh for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 in conformity with the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting.

In our opinion, the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balance referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial activity arising from cash transactions of the Magisterial District Court #31-2-01 for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009, on basis of accounting described in Note 1. However, we noted control deficiencies or other management issues that are described in the accompanying "Schedule of Prior Audit Findings and Recommendations".

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated November 8, 2010 on our consideration of Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

THOMAS SLONAKER
County Controller

November 8, 2010 Allentown, Pennsylvania

Final Distribution:
Allentown Parking Authority
Auditor General of Pennsylvania
Board of Commissioners
City of Allentown
Donald T. Cunningham, Jr., County Executive
Brian L. Kahler, Fiscal Officer
The Honorable William H. Platt, President Judge
H. Gordon Roberts, Magisterial District Judge Administrator
Andrew Simpson, AOPC

Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balance For the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 (NOTE 1)

<u>2008</u>	2009
\$1,002,073 66	\$ 925,824 124
1,002,139	925,948
386,283 241,796 176,834 132,812 65,105 66	331,908 258,762 172,250 120,872 46,065 124
(757)	(4,033)
41,002	40,245
\$ 40,245 =====	\$ 36,212 =====
	\$1,002,073 66

The accompanying notes to financial statement are an integral part of this statement.

Notes to Financial Statement For the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policy

A. Reporting Entity

The Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's financial activity is a part of the County of Lehigh's reporting entity, included in the general fund and is subject to annual financial audit by external auditors. This report is only for internal audit purposes.

B. Basis of Accounting

The accounting records of the County of Lehigh and the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balance are maintained on the cash receipts and disbursements basis of accounting. Under this basis of accounting, revenue is recognized when cash is received and expenditures are recognized when paid. This differs from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) which requires the accrual basis of accounting.

C. Administrative Guidelines

An automated *Clerical Procedures Manual* is published by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC). Each magisterial district court is required to follow the procedures mandated under the authority of Rule 505 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Judicial Administration.

D. Magisterial District Judge During the Audit Period

Karen C. Devine was the magisterial district judge for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009.

2. Other Disbursements

Other disbursements include refund of overpayments, restitution, refund of bail security, serving costs, and other miscellaneous disbursements.



COUNTY OF LEHIGH OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

LEHIGH COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
17 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
ALLENTOWN, PA 18101-2400
(610) 782-3082 FAX: (610) 820-3335

THOMAS SLONAKER
COUNTY CONTROLLER

JOHN A. FALK DEPUTY CONTROLLER

Magisterial District Judge Karen Devine Magisterial District Court #31-2-01 501 Hamilton Street, Basement Allentown, PA 18101

We have audited the financial statements of Magisterial District Court #31-2-01 for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009 and have issued our report thereon dated November 8, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements and the Changes in Cash Balance but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such as there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's response to our audit is included in this report. We did not audit Magisterial District Court #31-2-01's response and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and other affected county offices and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Thomas Slonaker County Controller

November 8, 2010 Allentown, Pennsylvania

Schedule of Prior Audit Findings and Recommendations

1. <u>Internal Control Weakness - Centralized Office Change Fund</u>

Condition: The management of the magisterial district court does not allocate the office change fund among the office staff members instead it uses a centralized office change fund. Each staff member keeps his or her own receipts in a locked bank bag. When someone needs change, they will go to the centralized change fund. At the end of the day, each staff member proves out the total of the days' receipts (cash and checks) with the total on the "Daily Cash Balancing Report". Once this has been done, all receipts (cash and checks) are combined and the deposit ticket is filled out. The use of a centralized change fund does not provide individual accountability if a cash overage or shortage occurs.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The management of the magisterial district court should allocate the office change fund among all staff members in order to provide individual accountability for change funds and daily receipts. Also, individual cash drawer should be provided to all staff members.

<u>Response</u>: Currently, we keep one (1) petty cash drawer and each person maintains a separate locked bank bag for money they have taken. The money in the petty cash drawer is used strictly to make change.

At the end of the day, each person totals their own bag and gives the money to the person making the deposit. She runs individual reports and double checks that all money is accounted for. The deposit is then written up and the petty cash drawer counted.

I think that this system seems to work and has enough safeguards to prevent problems.

I feel that totally separate cash drawers would only create more problems. I have seven (7) staff members taking payments and dividing the petty cash among them would not allow them to make change. My office is located in the Old Courthouse with various offices having access 24 hours a day, including the contractors. While the petty cash is in a locked box, in a locked filing cabinet, having additional cash could create even more problems.

Current Status: Current cash handling procedures provide adequate control.

2. <u>Undisbursed Funds</u>

<u>Condition</u>: The magisterial district court routinely holds funds (i.e., constable serving fees, bail, hearing collateral or restitution payments) for specific cases being heard within its jurisdiction. The undisbursed funds are usually disbursed within six months of the date received. There are 21 cases listed on the December 2007 *Undisbursed Funds Report* where funds were received from February 2006 through June 2007 and have not been disbursed.

Recommendation: The magisterial district judge should promptly adjudicate the 21 cases mentioned above. The magisterial district judge should periodically review all open cases on the monthly Undisbursed Funds Report and follow-up as necessary in order to close all cases in a timely manner.

<u>Response</u>: All opened cases are reviewed monthly. These cases are the result of appeals and bounced checks. My office will request reimbursement from the county to resolve these negative balances. We are currently awaiting a response from the AOPC Help Desk as to how to correct these entries.

<u>Current Status</u>: There are 20 cases listed on the December 2009 Undisbursed Funds Report where funds were received from June 2006 through June 2009 and have not been disbursed. Discussion with the magisterial district judge executive aide indicate adjustments can be made (with the assistance of the AOPC help desk) to remove some of these outstanding items listed on the Undisbursed Funds Report.

3. Receipts Are Not Always Deposited Timely

Condition: Occasionally, the staff of the magisterial district court did not always deposit the office receipts on a timely basis. In most cases, the office receipts were deposited from one to six days late from the date of receipt.

Recommendation: The magisterial district court staff should deposit the office receipts daily.

Response: Appropriate action has been taken to insure this does not happen in the future.

Current Status: Office receipts are now being deposited daily.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY OF LEHIGH

KAREN C. DEVINE

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT JUDGE

Magisterial District 31-2-01 501 W. Hamilton Street Allentown, PA 18101 610 782-3834

Comment to Audit Report Draft DC 31-2-01 Period from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009

After reviewing the Audit Findings and Recommendations regarding the Undisbursed Funds, a letter has been drafted requesting funds from the county to reimburse our court for the fourteen (13) appealed and bad check cases. We are awaiting AOPC's help to clear up the remaining cases. All of the cases have been adjudicated.

My office manager and I monthly review the monthly Undisbursed Funds Report. The aforementioned cases arose from computer programming which resulted in negative balances from appealed cases where constable fees had been paid, collateral and/or restitution payments and bad checks. All are beyond our control.

Karen C Berrne